

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,365	07/02/2001	Adrianus Wilhelmus Maria Van Den Enden	NL 000385	8465
24737	7590 02/27/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2654	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/897,365	VAN DEN ENDEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Angela A. Armstrong	2654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 E	December 2005					
•		s action is non-final.					
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
· _	Claim(s) 7-26 is/are pending in the application						
•							
	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ∑ Claim(s) 14-18,21 and 22 is/are allowed. 						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>7-13,19,20,23-26</u> is/are rejected.	•					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
ا (۵	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	:					
Applicati	on Papers	:					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	· ·					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,				
,.	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price						
	application from the International Burea	•					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ed.				
		•					
	•						
Attachmen	t(s)	÷					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-102)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed October 21, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the 35 USC § 101 rejection of claims 7-13 and 23-25, the changes to the claims fail to overcome the non-statutory rejection by merely stating the invention operates a filter using the calculated filter coefficients to filter a signal. While the claim may include an intended use for the invention, the claimed invention does not produce a useful, concrete and tangible result and involves no more than manipulating an abstract idea. The rejection maintained for claims 7-13 is applied to claims 23-25.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because section headings for such as the Brief Summary of the Invention, Explanation of the Drawings, etc. cited as follows in the previous Office Action, remain missing:

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without

Application/Control Number: 09/897,365 Page 3

Art Unit: 2654

underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. Claims 7-13, 19-20 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are not in the useful technical arts, consisting of types of mathematical subject matter not entitled to patent protection standing alone.

Application/Control Number: 09/897,365 Page 4

Art Unit: 2654

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 14-18 and 21-22 are allowed.

- 6. Claims 7-13, 19-20 and 23-25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections) under 35 U.S.C. 101 set forth in this Office action.
- 7. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The present invention is directed to a filter used in the reconstruction of speech signals,
 with the parameters for the filter being obtained directly from LSF.

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 23; Fette et al teach receiving a filter description (column 7 lines 10-12) comprising line spectral frequency coefficients (column 7 lines 1 2-1 5), Ramo teaches the step of computing a linear predictive coding filter from the line spectral frequency coefficients by computing an inverse polynomial (column 1 lines 1-2) by creating an ordered original series of polynomial factors comprising polynomial factors calculated from the line spectral frequency coefficients ((paragraph 0010) line 1) and Rotola-Pukkila et al read on the step of reducing the number of polynomial factors in the original series, combining the polynomial factors in pairs until only two final polynomial factors remain (by applying the liberal interpretation of decomposing, column 2 lines 5-13). The step of forming the inverse polynomial by multiplying the two final polynomial factors for calculating the filter coefficients

Application/Control Number: 09/897,365 Page 5

Art Unit: 2654

from the inverse polynomial were neither anticipated nor was it found in obvious combination in the prior art of record.

Claims 8-13, 15-22 and 24-26 depend on claims that are allowed.

Conclusion

9. This is a request for continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/897,365. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 571-272-7598. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11:30-8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Angela A Armstrong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2654

Augela Christrony

AAA February 21, 2006